

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 305 CUTTACK, THURSDAY, MARCH 4, 2010/FALGUNA 13, 1931

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT
NOTIFICATION

The 16th February 2010

No. 1339—li/ 1(B) - 23/2009 - L.E.— In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 22nd December 2009 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 13 of 2009 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial dispute between the Management of District Transport Manager (Administration), M/s Orissa State Road Transport Corporation, Badambadi, Cuttack and its Workman Shri Dillip Kumar Pattnaik, At Chandapada, P.O. Kanasa, District Puri was referred to for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ORISSA, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No.13 OF 2009

Dated the 22nd December 2009

Present :

Shri P. C. Mishra, o.s.j.s. (Sr. Branch),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar.

Between :

The Management of .. First Party—Management
District Transport Manager (Administration),
M/s Orissa State Road Transport Corporation,
Badambadi, Cuttack

And

Its Workman .. Second Party—Workman
Shri Dillip Kumar Pattnaik
At Chandapada
P.O. Kanasa
District Puri.

Appearances :

For both the Parties .. None

AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour and Employment Department in exercise of powers conferred upon them by sub-section (5) of Section 12, read with Clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the following dispute for adjudication vide their Order No. 6586-li/1-(B)-23/2009-L.E., dated the 20th July 2009.

“Whether the action of the management of M/s Orissa State Road Transport Corporation (Erstwhile Orissa Road Transport Company Ltd.) by terminating the services of Shri Dillip Kumar Pattnaik, cleaner, w.e.f. the 18th June 2001 is legal and/or justified? If not, to what are the benefits Shri Dillip Kumar Pattnaik is entitled to and from which date?”

2. In the aforementioned dispute, the workman in spite of sufficient opportunity neither appeared nor filed his claim statement. The notice issued to him returned undelivered with the postal remark “left”. In absence of any claim on behalf of the workman, it is not possible to answer the reference in either way. Hence, it is felt appropriate to pass a No Dispute Award in so far as the present reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. C. MISHRA
22-12-2009
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal
Bhubaneswar

P. C. MISHRA
22-12-2009
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal
Bhubaneswar

By order of the Governor
K. C. BASKE
Under-Secretary to Government

