

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1210 CUTTACK, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 4, 2010/SRAVANA 13, 1932

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 22nd July 2010

No. 6002–li/1(B)-125/2008-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the award, dated the 27th April 2010 in I. D. Case No. 174 of 2008 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial dispute between the management of the Satyabadi Press, Gopabandhu Bhawan, Cuttack and its workman Shri Upendra Lala was referred to for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE NO. 174 OF 2008

Dated the 27th April 2010

Present :

Shri P. C. Mishra, o. s. J. s. (Sr. Branch)
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar.

Between :

The General Manager . . . First Party–Management
The Satyabadi Press
Gopabandhu Bhawan, Cuttack.

And

Puspa Lala, Natramani Lala, Surendra Lala . . . Second Party–Workman
Ramesh Lala. Deepak Ku. Lala and Jyoshna Lala
All are legal heirs of Late Upendra Lala
At. Sutahata, Buxibazar, Cuttack.

Appearances :

For the First Party—Management	.. Shri S. Das, Authorised Representative
For the Second Party—Workman	.. Shri T. Lenka, Authorised Representative

A W A R D

Originally, the Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department had referred the following dispute for adjudication by the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar vide its Order No. 12545—li-1(B)-125/1998-L. E., dated the 9th November 1998 but subsequently it transferred the dispute to be adjudicated by the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar vide its Order No. 4138—li-21-32/2007-L. E., dated the 4th April 2008 :—

“Whether the termination of employment of Shri Upendra Lala by the management of the Satyabadi Press, Cuttack on attending 58 years of age is legal and/or justified ? If not, what relief Shri Lala is entitled to ?”

2. In the dispute, it is seen that the claim statement as well as written statement have been filed. The workman despite several opportunities did not come forward to adduce evidence and ultimately after his death no step was also taken by his legal heirs although they were noticed by Regd. Post on the 8th March 2010. As it appears the legal heirs of the deceased workman have lost interest in the proceeding for which neither they have entered appearance nor have taken any steps in the proceeding. In absence of any evidence on record it is not possible to answer the reference in any manner. Hence this being a reference of the year 1998 a no dispute Award is passed in so far as the present proceeding is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. C. MISHRA
27-4-2010
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal
Bhubaneswar

P. C. MISHRA
27-4-2010
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal
Bhubaneswar

By order of the Governor
P. K. PANDA
Under-Secretary to Government