

The Orissa Gazette



EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1021 CUTTACK, SATURDAY, JULY 3, 2010/ASADHA 12, 1932

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 11th June, 2010

No.4893—li/1(J)-15/2007—L.E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 8th January 2010 in I. D. Case No.20 of 2007 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Jeypore to whom the industrial dispute between the Management of M/s. Regulated Market Committee, Kandhamal, Phulbani and its Workman Shri Bipra Charan Digal was referred to for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, JEYPORE, KORAPUT
INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES CASE No.20 OF 2007

Dated the 8th January 2010

Present :

Shri P. K. Jena, O.S.J.S. (Jr. Branch)
Presiding Officer, Labour Court
Jeypore, Dist. Koraput.

Between :

The Secretary, Regulated Market Committee ... First-party Management
Kandhamal, Phulbani
At/P.O. Phulbani
Dist. Kandhamal.

And

Its Workman, Shri Bipra Charan Digal ... Second-party Workman
S/o. Janaka Digal, At. Pipudidei, P.O. Kainjhar
P.S. Tikabali, Dist. Kandhamal
Under Sections : 10 & 12 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947

Appearances :

None	..	For the Management
None	..	For the Workman
Date of Award	..	8-1-2010

AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department in exercise of the power conferred upon them under sub-section (5) of Section 12 read with clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the following disputes vide their Order No. 12527 (5) L.E, dated 17th November 2007 for adjudication of the following disputes :-

“Whether the action of the management of M/s. Regulated Market Committee, Kandhamal, Phulbani in refusing employment to Shri Bipra Charan Digal, with effect from 1-2-2001 is legal/or justified ? If not, what relief Shri Bipra Charan Digal is entitled to ?”

2. This is a year old case of the year 2007 so also it is a targetted case by the Hon’ble Court. Both the parties are noticed several times. But they do not turn up and not co-operate to the Court to dispose the case on contest. No fruitful purpose would be served if the case is adjuorned as it is a targetted case by the Hon’ble Court.

As both the parties are not interested to proceed with the case, so “No Dispute Award” is passed accordingly.

Dictated & corrected by me.

P. K. JENA
8-1-2010
Presiding Officer
Labour Court
Jeypore

P. K. JENA
8-1-2010
Presiding Officer
Labour Court
Jeypore

By order of the Governor
K. C. BASKE
Under-Secretary to Government