

# The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY  
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

---

No. 915 CUTTACK, THURSDAY, JULY 2, 2009/ASADHA 11, 1931

---

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

The 10th June 2009

No. 5266—li/1(S)-14/2005-(Pt.)-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 6th April 2009 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 12 /2005 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Sambalpur to whom the industrial dispute between the Management of M/s. Steady Carriers, At/P.O. Barbil, P. O. Box No. 18, Dist Keonjhar-758 035 and its Workman Shri Trilochan Mohanta, S/o. Laxmidhar Mohanta, At Nuadihi, P.O. Parjanpur, P. S. Sadar (Rajsuan), Dist Keonjhar was referred to for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

#### SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, SAMBALPUR  
INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 12 OF 2005  
Dated the 6th April 2009

*Present :*

Smt. Suchismita Misra, LL.M.,  
Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Sambalpur.

*Between :*

The Management of .. First Party —Management  
M/s. Steady Carriers,  
At/P.O. Barbil, P. O. Box No. 18,  
Dist Keonjhar-758 035.

*And*

Its workman Shri Trilochan Mohanta, .. Second Party—Workman  
S/o. Laxmidhar Mohanta,  
At Nuadihi, P.O. Parjanpur,  
P. S. Sadar (Rajsuan),  
Dist Keonjhar

*Appearances :*

For the First Party —Management	..	None
<hr/>		
For the Second Party —Workman	..	None

## AWARD

1. The Government of Orissa in the Labour and Employment Department in exercise of the powers conferred upon them by sub-section (5) of Section 12 read with Clause (c) of the sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and by their Order No. 7232—li/1-(S)-14/2005-L.E., dated the 24th August 2005 have referred the following disputes for adjudication by this Court.

“Whether the refusal of employment of Shri Trilochan Mohanta by the management of M/s. Steady Carriers, Barbil, District Keonjhar with effect from the 1st October 2003 is legal and/or justified ? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ?”.

2. In the instant proceeding the second party workman though filed his pleading i.e. the claim statement yet he did not take any step for commencement of hearing of the case. He also did not appear before the Court for taking further steps in the case. From this it could be safely inferred that the workman at present has no dispute with the management or his dispute has been settled between him and the management amicably outside the Court in the meantime. Accordingly, an award of no dispute is passed in so far as the reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

Smt. SUCHISMITA MISRA  
6-4-2009  
Presiding Officer  
Labour Court, Sambalpur

Smt. SUCHISMITA MISRA  
6-4-2009  
Presiding Officer  
Labour Court, Sambalpur

By order of the Governor  
K. C. BASKE  
Under-Secretary to Government