

# The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY  
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

---

No. 873 CUTTACK, MONDAY, JUNE 29, 2009/ASADHA 8, 1931

---

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

The 4th June 2009

No. 4985—li/1(SS)-33/2004 (Pt.)-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 26th March 2009 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 17 /2007 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Sambalpur to whom the industrial dispute between the Management of Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela and their workman Shri Bada Ram, P. L. No. 43218, Senior Loco Operator represented through Rourkela Mazdoor Sabha, Bisra Road, Rourkela 11 was referred to for adjudication is hereby published as in the Scheduled below :

#### SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, SAMBALPUR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 17 OF 2007

Dated the 26th March 2009

*Present :*

Smt. Suchismita Misra, LL.M.,  
Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Sambalpur.

*Between :*

The Management of .. First Party —Management  
Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela.

*And*

Their workman Shri Bada Ram, .. Second Party—Workman  
P. L. No. 43218, Senior Loco Operator,  
represented through Rourkela Mazdoor  
Sabha, Bisra Road, Rourkela 11.

*Appearances :*

For the First Party —Management	..	None
For the Second Party —Workman	..	None

## AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department in exercise of powers conferred upon them by sub-section (5) of Section 12 read with Clause (c) of the sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and by their Order No. 7538—L.E.-li/1-(SS)-33/2004, dated the 8th June 2007 have referred the following disputes for adjudication by this Court.

“Whether the action of the management Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela in inflicting punishment upon Shri Bada Ram, P. L. No. 43218, Sr. Loco Operator by way of reducing his basic pay by two stages from Rs. 3857 to Rs. 3677 is legal and/or justified ? If not, what relief is Shri Ram entitled to ?”

2. On notices being sent, the workman did not appear nor filed his statement of claim for the reasons best known to him. From this, it can safely be inferred that the workman has no dispute with the management or they have settled their dispute outside the Court in the mean time. Accordingly, an Award of no dispute is passed in so far as the reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

SUCHISMITA MISRA  
26-3-2009  
Presiding Officer  
Labour Court, Sambalpur

SUCHISMITA MISRA  
26-3-2009  
Presiding Officer  
Labour Court, Sambalpur

---

By order of the Governor  
K. C. BASKE  
Under-Secretary to Government