

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 754 CUTTACK, MONDAY, JUNE 8, 2009/JAISTHA 18, 1931

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 22nd May 2009

No. 4557—li/1(B)-82/2000-L E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 20th April 2009 in Industrial Disputes Case No. 296/2008 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial dispute between the Management of M/s Mid West India Industries Ltd. and their workman Shri Chitaranjan Mohanty was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 296 OF 2008

Dated the 20th April 2009

Present :

Shri P. C. Mishra, o.s.J.s. (Sr. Branch),
Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar.

Between :

The Director, .. First Party —Management
M/s Mid West India Industries Ltd.,
Mid West House-1.10-60/2,
Begumpet, Hyderabad—500016

And

Shri Chitaranjan Mohanty, .. Second Party—Workman
At/Post Bentakar,
District Cuttack—754112.

Appearances :

None .. For both parties

AWARD

Originally, the Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department had referred the following dispute for adjudication by the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar vide its Order No. 5062—li/1(B)-82/2000-LE., dated the 18th April 2002 but subsequently it transferred the dispute to be adjudicated by the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar vide its Order No. 4138—li/21-32/2007-LE., dated the 4th April 2008.

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Chitaranjan Mohanty, w.e.f. the 2nd April 1998 by the management of M/s Mid West India Industries Ltd., is legal and/or justified? If not, what relief Shri Mohanty is entitled to?”

2. In this case, the workman after filing his claim statement did not appear on the dates of hearing. The management also neither appeared nor filed written statement. The conduct of the parties leads this Tribunal to draw an inference that the parties are no more interested to contest the “lis” perhaps for the reason that the dispute is more subsisting between them requiring adjudication. Hence a ‘No Dispute Award’ is passed in so far as the present dispute is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. C. MISHRA
20-4-2009
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar

P. C. MISHRA
20-4-2009
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar

By order of the Governor
K. C. BASKE
Under-Secretary to Government