

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 288 CUTTACK, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2009 / FALGUNA 8, 1930

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 9th February 2009

No. 1233—li/1(BH)-155/1999(Pt.)-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 29th January 2008 in I. D. Case No. 197 of 2008 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar to whom the Industrial Dispute between the Management of the Executive Engineer, (R. & B.) Division, Puri, At/P.O./Dist. Puri and its workman Shri Khetrabasi Moharana was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 197 OF 2008

Dated the 29th January 2009

Present :

Shri P. C. Mishra, o.s.j.s. (Sr. Branch),
Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal,
Bhubaneswar.

Between :

The Executive Engineer, . . . First Party—Management
P.W.D. (R. & B.) Division Puri,
At/P.O./Dist. Puri.

And

Shri Khetrabasi Moharana, . . . Second Party—Workman
S/o Raj Kishore Moharana
At Narasingha Ballabh Patna,
Kundheibent Sahi,
At/P.O./Dist. Puri.

Appearances :

None

. . . For both the Parties.

AWARD

Originally, the Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department had referred the following dispute for adjudication by the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar vide its Order No. 808—li/l(B)-155/1999-LE., dated the 13th January 2000 but subsequently it transferred the dispute to be adjudicated by the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar vide its Order No. 4138—li/21-32/2007-LE., dated the 4th April 2008.

“Whether the action of the management by way of terminating the services of Shri Khetrabasi Moharana, C.L.R. Carpenter with effect from 3rd February 1998 is legal and/or justified ? If not , what relief Shri Moharana is entitled to ? ”

2. In this case neither the workman after filing his claim statement remained content and did not appear on the date of hearing. The management also neither appeared nor filed its written statement. The conduct of the parties, as aforesaid, leads me to draw an inference that the parties are no more interested to pursue the “lis”, perhaps for the reason that the dispute is no more and it has been settled between them in the meantime. The order of reference is of the year 2000 and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping this dispute pending any more. Hence, a no dispute Award is passed in so far as the present reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. C. MISHRA
29-1-2009
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal
Bhubaneswar

P. C. MISHRA
29-1-2009
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal
Bhubaneswar

By order of the Governor
K. C. BASKE
Under-Secretary to Government