

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 201 CUTTACK, MONDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 2009/MAGHA 27, 1930

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 30th January 2009

No. 830—li/1(B)-21/2001(pt.)-LE.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 2nd January 2009 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 289/2008 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of M/s Sakti Sugar Ltd., Nayabazar, Sikharpur, Cuttack and their Workman Shri Bibhu Prasad Sahoo was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ORISSA, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE NO. 289 OF 2008

Dated the 2nd January 2009

Present :

Shri P. C. Mishra, o.s.J.s. (Sr. Branch)
Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal
Bhubaneswar.

Between :

The General Secretary .. First Party—Management
M/s Sakti Sugar Ltd., Nayabazar
Sikharpur, Cuttack.

And

Shri Bibhu Prasad Sahoo .. Second Party—Workman
At Othoka, P.O. Nischintakoili, Dist. Cuttack.

Appearances :

For both the parties .. None

AWARD

Originally, the Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department had referred the following dispute for adjudication by the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar vide its Order No. 4376—li/1(B)-21/2001-L.E., dated the 4th April 2002 but subsequently it transferred the dispute to be adjudicated by the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar vide its Order No. 4138—li/21-32/2007-L.E., dated the 4th April 2008.

“Whether the action of the management of M/s Sakti Sugar Ltd., in terminating the services of Shri Bibhu Prasad Sahoo with effect from the 31st January 1999 is legal and/or justified ? If not, to what relief Shri Sahoo is entitled ?”

2. In this case the second party has not filed his statement of claims despite direction of the Government in the Labour & Employment Department and the subsequent notice issued by this Tribunal. From the conduct of the second party, there is reason to presume that he is no more interested in the ‘lis’ or the dispute referred has in the meantime been settled between the parties. In the aforesaid circumstance, therefore, this Tribunal has no other option than to pass no dispute Award in the case and accordingly a no dispute Award is passed in so far as the present reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. C. MISHRA
02-01-2009
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar

P. C. MISHRA
02-01-2009
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar

By order of the Governor
K. C. BASKE
Under-Secretary to Government