

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1139, CUTTACK, THURSDAY, JUNE 12, 2008/ JAISTHA 22, 1930

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 15th May 2008

No.5578-1i/1(SS)-41/2006/LE.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award dated the 23rd April 2008 in Industrial Disputes Case No.21/2006 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela to whom the Industrial Dispute between the Management of M/s. Radhika Hotel, Rourkela and Their workmen Smt. Janaki Devi and Smt. Fulo Devi represented through General Secretary, Rourkela Shops & Commercial Establishment Workers' Union Rourkela was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the scheduled below:—

SCHEDULE

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ROURKELA

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE NO.21/2006

The 23rd April 2008

Present : Shri Srikanta Nayak, O.S.J.S. (Sr. Branch),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal,
Rourkela.

Between: The Management of
M/s. Radhika Hotel, Rourkela
Dist.- Sundergarh .. First-Party—Management
And
Their workmen Smt. Janaki Devi
and Smt. Fulo Devi, represented
through General Secretary,
Rourkela Shops & Commercial
Establishment Workers' Union
Rourkela .. Second-Party—Workmen

Appearances : None

.. For both the parties.

AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department, in exercise of powers conferred upon them by sub-section (5) of Section 12 read with Clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the following dispute for adjudication vide their Order No. 9601-li/1(SS)41/2006/LE., dated the 3rd November, 2006 :—

“Whether the action of the Management of M/s. Radhika Hotel, Rourkela, New Station Square, Rourkela-1 in terminating the services of Smt. Janaki Devi and Smt. Fulo Devi with effect from 9th December 2003 is legal and or justified ? If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled ?”

2. In this case despite notice the Second-Party—Union neither filed its claim statement nor took any step. The conduct of the Second-Party—Union reveals that it is no more interested in the ‘lis’ perhaps for the reason that the dispute is no more subsisting or the same has been settled in the meantime out of the Court. In the circumstance, therefore, I have no other option than to pass a no dispute award in the case and accordingly a no dispute award is passed in so far as the present reference is concerned.

Dictated and Corrected by me

Srikanta Nayak
dt. 23-04-2008
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal,
Rourkela.

Srikanta Nayak
dt. 23-04-2008
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal,
Rourkela.

By order of the Governor

G. JENA

Deputy Secretary to Government