

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 181 CUTTACK, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 2007/MAGHA 17, 1928

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 4th December 2006

No. 10766–li/1(S)-1/2001(Pt.)-L. E.–In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 19th October 2006 in I. D. Case No. 8/01 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Sambalpur to whom the industrial disputes between the management of M/s. Ponni Sugars and Chemicals Ltd., Sagarpali, Deogaon, Balangir and its workman Shri Ambarish Sahu, At/P.O. Sinkuan, Via Deogaon, Dist. Balangir was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER

LABOUR COURT, SAMBALPUR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 8 OF 2001

Dated the 19th October 2006

Present :

Shri P. K. Mahapatra, LL. B.,
Presiding Officer, Labour Court,
Sambalpur.

Between :

The Management of .. First Party–Management
M/s. Ponni Sugars and Chemicals Ltd.,
Sagarpali, Deogaon, Balangir.

And

Its workman .. Second Party–Workman
Shri Ambarish Sahu,
At/P.O. Sinkuan, Via Deogaon,
Dist. Balangir.

Appearances :

For the First-Party Management	..	None
For the Second-Party Workman	..	None

AWARD

This case arises out of the reference made by the Government of Orissa, Labour & Employment Department under Sections 10 & 12 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 vide Memo No. 11508(5)-L.E., dated the 16th August 2001 for adjudication of the dispute scheduled below :-

“Whether the action of the management of M/s. Ponni Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., At Sagarpali, P. O. Deogaon, Dist. Balangir in dismissing on Ambarish Sahu, ex-Cane Assistant from services with effect from the 25th January 2000 is legal and/or justified ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?”

2. Consequent to reference made by the State Government referring the dispute as mentioned above, both parties filed their respective statement of claim and written statement but subsequently, the management remained absent and did not take any steps. So also although Counsel for workman appeared in the Court, he took repeated adjournment due to absence of the workman. Since both parties did not appear nor took any effective step for adjudication of dispute, I feel they are not at all interested to proceed with the reference. As such I do hereby pass ‘No Dispute Award’ so far the present reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. K. MAHAPATRA
19-10-2006
Presiding Officer
Labour Court
Sambalpur

P. K. MAHAPATRA
19-10-2006
Presiding Officer
Labour Court
Sambalpur

By order of the Governor
N. C. RAY
Under-Secretary to Government