

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 70 CUTTACK, FRIDAY, JANUARY 27, 2006 / MAGHA 7, 1927

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 9th January 2006

No. 239—li/1(B)-147/2000(Pt.)-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 26th December 2005 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 5 of 2001 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of GRIDCO Limited, Bhubaneswar and its workman Shri B. K. Ray represented through O.S.E.B. Shramik Sangha, Bhubaneswar was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ORISSA, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 5 OF 2001

Dated the 26th December 2005

Present :

Sk. Jan Hossain, o.s.j.s. (Sr. Branch)
Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal
Bhubaneswar.

Between :

The Management of .. First Party—Management
GRIDCO Limited, Bhubaneswar.

And

Its workman .. Second Party—Workman
Shri B. K. Ray represented through
O. S. E. B. Shramik Sangha
Bhubaneswar.

Appearances :

For both the Parties .. None

AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department in exercise of powers conferred upon them by sub-section (5) of Section 12 read with clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the following dispute for adjudication vide their Order No. 5051-li/1 (B)-147/2000-L.E., dated the 4th April 2001 :—

“Whether the demands of O.S.E.B. Shramik Mahasangha regarding grant of one advance increment to Shri B. K. Ray, Stenographer Grade-III, Nayagarh Electrical Division, Nayagarh with effect from the 1st April 1990 is legal and justified ? If not, to what relief Shri Ray is entitled ?”

2. This case was posted to the 13th December 2005 for hearing. On that date neither the second party nor the first party appeared and take any steps.

The record reveals that after filing their respective claim statement and written statements in the case, issue has been framed since the 8th March 2002 and since then the case is lingering for hearing. The workman, who has raised the dispute is not at all turning up since last one year. The absence of the parties on the date of hearing leads me to draw an inference that they are no more interested in the ‘lis’, perhaps for the reason that the dispute is no more subsists between them or the same might have been settled in the meantime. In the circumstance, therefore, this Tribunal has no other option than to pass a no dispute Award in the case and accordingly a ‘No Dispute Award’ is passed in so far as the present reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

Sk. JAN HOSSAIN
26-12-2005
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal
Bhubaneswar.

Sk. JAN HOSSAIN
26-12-2005
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal
Bhubaneswar.

By order of the Governor
D. MISHRA
Under-Secretary to Government