

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1405 CUTTACK, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 2006 / ASWINA 3, 1928

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 7th September 2006

No. 8143-li/1(S)-17/2005(pt.)-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 30th June 2006 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 12/2005 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela to whom the industrial disputes between the management of M/s Bargarh Cement Ltd., Bardol, District Bargarh, their Contractors, Bardol, Dist. Bargarh and their Contract Labourers represented through IDCOL Cement Mazdoor Sangh, Bardol, Dist. Bargarh was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ROURKELA

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 12 OF 2005

Dated the 30th June 2006

Present :

Shri S. K. Behera
Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal
Rourkela.

Between :

1. M/s Bargarh Cement Ltd. .. First Party—Management
Bardol, Dist. Bargarh
2. Their Contractors, Bardol, Dist. Bargarh.

And

Their Contract Labourers .. Second Party—Workmen
represented by IDCOL Cement
Mazdoor Sangh, Bardol, Dist. Bargarh.

Appearances :

For the First Party Management . . . Shri M. M. Rout, A. R.

For the Second Party Workmen . . . None

AWARD

The Government of Orissa, Labour & Employment Department has referred the following dispute for adjudication :—

“ Whether the demand of IDCOL Cement Mazdoor Sangh for provision of Bus facilities for the School and College going children of contractors workmen or alternatively payment of transportation allowance Rs. 100 per month is legal or justified ? If so, what should be entitlement ?”

2. M/s Bargarh Cement Ltd., Bardol, Bargarh is the first party No. I and the contractor is first party No. II whereas the IDCOL Cement Mazdoor Sangh is the second party.

3. I shall take up all the points together. In this case both parties have filed a joint petition to drop the proceedings stating the grounds that in the mean time the matter has been settled by themselves amicably in presence of Conciliation Officer-*cum*-D. L. O., Bargarh. Both parties have filed Form-K of Orissa Industrial Disputes Rules, 1959. It appears from the papers filed by the parties that they have amicably settled the dispute among themselves and they are not interested to proceed with the case. The representative of the management also submitted that he is not interested to proceed with the case in view of the compromise between the parties.

4. As the parties did not contest the case, it is not possible on the part of this tribunal to adjudicate the dispute on merit. Accordingly there is no option except to pass a 'No Dispute Award'. Accordingly, No Dispute Award is passed.

Dictated and corrected by me.

S. K. BEHERA
30-6-2006
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal
Rourkela

S. K. BEHERA
30-6-2006
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal
Rourkela

By order of the Governor
N. C. RAY
Under-Secretary to Government