

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1360 CUTTACK, WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 2006 / BHADRA 29, 1928

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 18th August 2006

No. 7634-li/1(S)-3/2002-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 17th June 2006 in I. D. Case No. 10/2004 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of the Executive Engineer, Hydrolic Research Division, Burla, and the General Secretary, Hirakud Dam employees Union, C/o Smt. Sipra Mohanty, President of Ainthapal, Sambalpur was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER

INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ROURKELA

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 10 OF 2004

Dated the 17th June 2006

Present :

Shri S. K. Behera, s.J.s. (Sr. Branch)
Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal
Rourkela.

Between :

The Executive Engineer .. First Party—Management
Hydrolic Research Division, Burla

And

The General Secretary .. Second Party—Workman
Hirakud Dam Employees Union,
C/o Smt. Sipra Mohanty, President
Ainthapali, Sambalpur.

Appearances :

For the Farst Party	..	Shri U. C. Behera, Assistant Engineer
For the Second Party	..	None

AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department, in exercise of their powers conferred under sub-section (5) of Section 12, read with clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, have referred the following dispute vide Order No. 10828–li-I(S)-3/2002-L.E., dated the 13th November 2003 for adjudication :—

“Whether the demand of Hirakud Dam Employees Union, Hirakud to regularise the services of Shri Parsuram Marei and 46 other N. M. Rs. and Shri Nakul Behera and 8 others work charged employees who have completed more than 11 years of service. As per the list in Annexure (I) in view of the resolution No. 22764, dated the 15th May 1997 of the Finance Department Government of Orissa, Bhubaneswar is legal and/or justified ? If not, what relief they are entitled and from what date ?”

2. The case is posted today for appearance of the parties. The Second party Hirakud Dam Employees Union is absent on repeated calls. None appears for the union. The representative of the First party is present, who declined to take part in the case in absence of the Second party. This shows that either the parties have settled the disputes amicably or they have no interest to contest the case and hence I think it is better to close the case. Accordingly the case is closed and No dispute Award is passed.

Dictated and corrected by me.

S. K. BEHERA
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal
Rourkela

S. K. BEHERA
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal
Rourkela

By order of the Governor
N. C. RAY
Under-Secretary to Government