

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1319 CUTTACK, FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2006 / BHADRA 24, 1928

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 17th August 2006

No. 7542-li/1(SS)-29/2003-LE.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 10th July 2006 Industrial Dispute Case No. 32 of 2003 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Sambalpur to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of Rourkela Municipality represented by the Executive officer, Rourkela Municipality, Rourkela and its workman Shri Radha Shyam Mohanty, Shri Bidyadhar Mohapatra, Shri Bijay Kumar Barik and Shri Ashok Kumar Sasheni workman represented through Poura parishad Karmachari Sangh, Rourkela was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER,

LABOUR COURT, SAMBALPUR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 32 OF 2003

Dated the 10th July 2006

Present :

Shri P. K. Mohapatra, LL.B.
Presiding Officer, Labour Court
Sambalpur.

Between :

The Management of Rourkela Municipality represented by the Executive officer Rourkela Municipality, Rourkela	.. First Party—Management
And	
Their workmen Shri Radha Shyam Mohanty Shri Bidyadhar Mohapatra Shri Bijay Kumar Barik and Shri Ashok Kumar Sasheni	.. Second Party—Workman

workman represented through
Poura Parishad Karmachari Sangh
Rourkela.

Appearances :

For both the First Party Management . . . None
For both the Second Party Workmen . . . None

AWARD

This case arise out of the reference made by the Government of Orissa, Labour & Employment Department under Sections 10 & 12, of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 , vide Reference Memo No. 6440, dated the 5th May 2003 for adjudication of disputes scheduled below :—

“Whether the demand for regularition of services of the N.M.R. namely Shri Radha Shyam Mohanty, Shri Bidyadhar Mohapatra, Shri Bijay Kumar Barik and Shri Ashok Kumar Sasheni as per the principle under which 15 Nos. of junior workmen were regularised in November 1999 by the management of Rourkela municipality, Rourkela is legal and/or justified ? If not, what relief these workmen are entitled ?”

2. After filing of statement of claim and written statement by the workman and management respectively, both parties did not appear in the Court subsequently nor taken any step inspite of repeated notices to them. As it appears, they are not interested to proceed with the present case. Hence i do hereby pass a a “No Dispute Award” so far as the dispute under the present reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. K. MOHAPATRA
10-7-2006
Presiding Officer
Labour Court, Sambalpur

P. K. MOHAPATRA
10-7-2006
Presiding Officer
Labour Court, Sambalpur

By order of the Governor
N. C. RAY
Under-Secretary to Government