

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1520 CUTTACK, WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2004 /KARTIKA 19, 1926

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 30th September 2004

No. 8558-II/1(SS)-57/2002-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 1st May 2004 in I. D. Case No. 24/2003 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Sambalpur to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of M/s. S. B. Construction (P) Ltd., formerly M/s. Rout Enterprises, Mahatab Road, Rourkela and its workmen Shri Ramesh Ch. Panigrahi and others represented through Rourkela Mazdoor Sabha was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER
LABOUR COURT, SAMBALPUR
INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES CASE NO. 24 OF 2003
Dated the 1st May 2004

Present :

Shri P. C. Mishra
Presiding Officer
Labour Court, Sambalpur.

Between :

The Management of
M/s. S. B. Construction (P) Ltd.
formerly M/s. Rout Enterprises
Mahatab Road, Rourkela
Rourkela, Dist. Sundargarh. First Party—Management

And
Its Workmen, Second Party—Workmen
Shri Ramesh Ch. Panigrahi
& others, represented through
Rourkela Mazdoor Sabha/
The Deputy General Secretary
Rourkela Mazdoor Sabha,
Bisra Road, Rourkela.

Appearances :

<u>For the First Party—Management</u>	..	None
For the Second Party—Workmen	..	None

AWARD

This case arises out of the reference made by the Government of Orissa, Labour & Employment Department under Sections 10 & 12 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, vide Memo No. 4949 (5), dated the 19th May 2003 for adjudication of the disputes scheduled below :

“Whether the action of the management of M/s. S. B. Construction (P) Ltd. (Formerly M/s. Rout Enterprises), Rourkela in denying employment to retrenched workers, namely Shri Ramesh Ch. Panigrahi, Bhipsen Suna, Gopinath Dash, Antaryami Mallick, Sarat Ch. Swain, Umakanta Behera, Sarbeswar Sahoo and P. Rao in the job of capital repairs of coke ovens of Rourkela Steel Plant is legal and/or justified ? If not, to what relief the aforesaid workmen are entitled ?”

2. The second party workmen neither filed their statement of claim nor took any step in the Court as per order of the State Government, Labour & Employment Department contained in the reference and also on issue of repeated notices from the Court. As it appears that the workmen are not interested to proceed with the present case. Hence I do hereby pass a “No Dispute Award” so far as the present reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. C. MISHRA
1-5-2004
Presiding Officer
Labour Court
Sambalpur.

P. C. MISHRA
1-5-2004
Presiding Officer
Labour Court
Sambalpur.

By order of the Governor
D. MISHRA
Under-Secretary to Government