

# The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY  
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

---

---

No. 1812 CUTTACK, MONDAY, DECEMBER 20, 2004 / MARGASIRA 29, 1926

---

---

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

The 8th December 2004

No. 11205—li/1(B)-131/2001-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 8th October 2004 in Industrial Dispute Case No.46 of 2002 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of M/s.Bhamra Service Centre, Bhubaneswar and its Workman Shri Bharat Ku. Swain represented through the President, All Orissa Petrol & Diesel Pump Workers Union, Bhubaneswar was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

#### SCHEDULE

IN THE LABOUR COURT, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 46 OF 2002

Dated the 8th October 2004

*Present :*

Shri P. K. Sahoo, o.s.j.s. (Jr. Branch)  
Presiding Officer, Labour Court  
Bhubaneswar.

*Between :*

M/s. Bhamra Service Centre .. First Party—Management  
N. H. 5, Nayapalli, Bhubaneswar.

And

The President .. Second Party—Workman  
All Orissa Petrol & Diesel Pump  
Workers Union, At/P. O. Hanspal,  
Naharkanta, Bhubaneswar.

*Appearances :*

For both the parties .. None

## AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department vide Memo. No. 4382(5)-L. E., dated the 4th April 2002 in exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (5) of Section 12, read with clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 have referred the matter in dispute to this Court vide Labour & Employment Department Notification No. 8007-L. E., dated the 18th July 1985, read with Notification No. 10909-L. E., dated the 16th August 2000 for adjudication.

“ Whether the action of the employer M/s. Bhamra Service Centre, Bhubaneswar in terminating the services of Shri Bharat Ku. Swain, Pumpman with effect from the 1st May 2000 is legal and/or justified ? If not, what benefits the workman is entitled to ?”

2. The workman was absent on repeated calls. No steps taken on his behalf. Similarly the management is also absent and no steps taken on its behalf. The perusal of the case record clearly emerges that both the management and the workman are absent. In such view of the matter, a no dispute Award is passed accordingly.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. K. SAHOO  
8-10-2004  
Presiding Officer  
Labour Court, Bhubaneswar

P. K. SAHOO  
8-10-2004  
Presiding Officer  
Labour Court, Bhubaneswar

---

By order of the Governor  
D. MISHRA  
Under-Secretary to Government