

# The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY  
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

---

---

No. 1808 CUTTACK, SATURDAY, DECEMBER 18, 2004 / MARGASIRA 27, 1926

---

---

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

The 8th December 2004

No. 11215—li/1(B)-32/2001-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 18th October 2004 in Industrial Dispute Case No.59 of 2001 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of M/s. Laxmi Talkies, Naya Bazar, Cuttack and its Workman Shri Subrat Ku. Ghosh and 15 others represented through the General Secretary, Cuttack Commercial Workers Union, Cuttack was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

#### SCHEDULE

IN THE LABOUR COURT, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 59 OF 2001

Dated the 18th October 2004

*Present :*

Shri P. K. Sahoo, o.s.J.s. (Jr. Branch)  
Presiding Officer, Labour Court  
Bhubaneswar.

*Between :*

M/s. Laxmi Talkies . . . First Party—Management  
Naya Bazar, Cuttack.

And

The General Secretary . . . Second Party—Workman  
Cuttack Commercial Workers Union  
Gosala Road, Cuttack.

*Appearances :*

For both the parties . . . None

## AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department vide Memo. No. 17189(5)-L. E., dated the 12th December 2001 in exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (5) of Section 12, read with clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 have referred the matter in dispute to this Court vide Labour & Employment Department Notification No. 8007-L. E., dated the 18th July 1985, read with Notification No. 10909-L. E., dated the 16th August 2000 for adjudication.

“ Whether the action of the Management of M/s. Laxmi Talkies, in terminating the services of Shri Subrat Kumar Ghose and 15 others by way of refusal of employment is legal and/or justified ? If not, what relief they are entitled to ?”

2. The workman was absent on repeated calls. No steps taken on his behalf. Similarly the management is also absent and no steps taken on its behalf. The perusal of the case record clearly emerges that both the management and the workman are absent. In such view of the matter, a no dispute Award is passed accordingly.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. K. SAHOO  
18-10-2004  
Presiding Officer  
Labour Court, Bhubaneswar

P. K. SAHOO  
18-10-2004  
Presiding Officer  
Labour Court, Bhubaneswar

---

By order of the Governor  
D. MISHRA  
Under-Secretary to Government