

The Orissa Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1806 CUTTACK, SATURDAY, DECEMBER 18, 2004 / MARGASIRA 27, 1926

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 10th December 2004

No. 11273-li/1(B)-47/2001-L. E.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 1st October 2004 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 30/2001 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial disputes between the Managing Director, M/s. COSBOARD Industries Ltd, New Industrial Estate, Phase II, Jagatpur, Cuttack and its workman Shri Sudipta Kumar Pal was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE LABOUR COURT, BHUBANESWAR

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 30 OF 2001

Dated the 1st October 2004

Present :

Shri P. K. Sahoo, o.s.J.s. (Jr. Branch)
Presiding Officer, Labour Court
Bhubaneswar.

Between :

The Managing Director	..	First Party—Management
M/s. COSBOARD Industries Ltd		
New Industrial Estate, Phase II		
Jagatpur, Cuttack		
And		
Shri Sudipta Kumar Pal	..	Second Party—Workman
At Chudang Pokhari, P.O. Kapaleswar		
Via Choudwar, Dist. Cuttack.		

Appearances :

For both the Parties	..	None
----------------------	----	------

AWARD

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department vide memo No. 13181(4)-L.E., dated the 19th September 2001 in exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (5) of Section 12, read with clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 have referred the matter in dispute to this Court vide Labour & Employment Department Notification No. 8007-L. E., dated the 18th July 1985, read with notification No. 10909-L.E., dated the 16th August 2000 for adjudication.

“ Whether the termination of services of Shri Sudipta Kumar Pal, Boiler Attendant by the management of M/s. COSBOARD Industries Ltd., Jagatpur, with effect from the 6th November 1999 is legal and/or justified ? If not, what relief Shri Pal is entitled to ?”

2. The workman is absent on repeated calls. No steps taken on his behalf. Similarly the management is also absent and no steps taken on its behalf. The perusal of the case record clearly emerges that both the management and the workman are absent. In such view of the matter, a no dispute Award is passed accordingly.

Dictated and corrected by me.

P. K. SAHOO
1-10-2004
Presiding Officer
Labour Court
Bhubaneswar.

P. K. SAHOO
1-10-2004
Presiding Officer
Labour Court
Bhubaneswar.

By order of the Governor
D. MISHRA
Under-Secretary to Government